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Abstract—Detail enhancement is required by many problems in the fields of image processing and computational photography. Existing detail enhancement algorithms first decompose a source image into a base layer and a detail layer via an edge-preserving smoothing algorithm, and then amplify the detail layer to produce a detail-enhanced image. In this paper, we propose a new $L_0$ norm based detail enhancement algorithm which generates the detail-enhanced image directly. The proposed algorithm preserves sharp edges better than an existing $L_0$ norm based algorithm. Experimental results show that the proposed algorithm reduces color distortion in the detail-enhanced image, especially around sharp edges.

Index Terms—detail enhancement, image smoothing, edge preserving, gradient field, $L_0$ norm.

I. INTRODUCTION

Image detail enhancement algorithms can increase visual appearance of images. They enhance fine details while avoid halo artifacts and gradient reversal artifacts around edges. The detail enhancement technique is a widely used image editing tool. Existing detail enhancement algorithms are based on edge-preserving decomposition algorithms. A source image is first decomposed into a base layer which is formed by homogeneous regions with sharp edges and a detail layer which is composed of fine details or textures via the edge-preserving decomposition algorithm, then a detail-enhanced image is produced by amplifying the detail layer.

Image edge-preserving decomposition algorithms can be divided into two categories: local filter based [1]–[5] and global optimization based [7]–[10]. Median filter [1], a well-known de-noise filter, can be used as an edge-preserving decomposition filter. In [11], an iterative median filter was used as an edge-preserving decomposition tool in a generalized unsharp masking algorithm. Bilateral filtering (BF) [3] combines a range filter with a domain filter to preserve edges. It is a simple and widely used local weighted average filter, but it may exhibit gradient reversal artifacts near some edges when used for detail enhancement [6], [8], [12]. A guided image filter (GIF) [5] derived from a local linear model can avoid the gradient reversal artifacts, thus it outperforms the BF.

The computational cost of all the local filters is low. However, they suffer from halos near some edges [5]. This problem can be overcome by using global optimization based filters. The total variation filter [7] uses an $L_1$ norm based regularization term to remove noises in images, which is also considered as an edge-preserving decomposition algorithm. Weighted Least Squares (WLS) [8] based multi-scale decomposition algorithm decomposes an image to two layers by solving a weighted least square optimization problem. In [13], an accelerated iterative shrinkage algorithm is proposed to decompose and enhance image. Sparse models usually can give better result in image processing algorithms [9], [14]. In [9], the $L_0$ norm of the gradient of image was used in the smoothing term. It can preserve edges better than WLS. In [10], $L_1$ fidelity is used in the formulation instead of $L_2$ fidelity which was used in [9]. In [15], the $L_0$ norm based smoothing algorithm is introduced to a detail enhancement scheme for fusion of differently exposed images. In [16], it is used in a visual enhancement algorithm for low backlight displays. In [17], the $L_0$ norm based smoothing algorithm is used for art-photographic detail enhancement. All these papers [9], [10], [15]–[17] show the $L_0$ norm based algorithm can give better detail enhancement results. But as stated in [9], the $L_0$ norm based algorithms may suffer from reversal halos near some edges. In [9], the edges are adjusted by solving an optimization problem, which could be very time-consuming. So it is desirable to design a new $L_0$ norm based detail enhancement algorithm.

In this paper, a new detail enhancement algorithm is proposed to produce a detail-enhanced image. With the proposed algorithm fine details can be amplified by enlarging all gradients in the source image except those of pixels at edges. The algorithm is derived by solving a newly formulated $L_0$ norm based global optimization problem. Unlike the optimization problem in [9], the optimization argument in our formulated problem is the detail-enhanced image rather than the base layer in [9]. An edge aware weighting is also incorporated into the regularization term in the obtained algorithm. This enables the edges to be preserved better by the proposed algorithm. Experimental results illustrate that the better results are produced with our algorithm than in [9]. It is worth noting that edge aware weighting was recently used in [18], [19] to get better image filter result. The proposed proposed algorithm is different from all these existing papers in the sense that our method provides the first order fidelity for pixels at edges while the methods in [18], [19] only provided the zero order fidelity for the pixels at edges.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, an $L_0$ gradient minimization based image detail enhancement scheme is introduced. In Section III, detail on the solver of the scheme is presented. In Section IV, the difference between the original $L_0$ norm based algorithm and the...
proposed algorithm are compared. In Section V experimental results are illustrated to verify the performance of our proposed schemes, and finally the paper is concluded in Section VI.

II. CONTENT ADAPTIVE DETAIL ENHANCE OPTIMIZATION

Enlarging the gradients of a source image is an effective method to sharpen the image. However, halo artifacts and gradient reversal artifacts could be produced if all the gradients of the source image are enlarged. To reduce such effects, only all the gradients except those of pixels at sharp edges are enlarged. Such an idea is formulated as an $L_0$ norm based global optimization problem to derive an appropriate. Same as existing global optimization problems, the proposed performance index consists of a data fidelity term and a regularization term. A lagrangian factor $\lambda$ is used to adjust the importance of the two terms to control the degree of the enhancement. Based on these, the optimization problem is formulated as follows:

$$\min_{E} \left\{ \sum_p (E_p - I_p)^2 + \lambda \cdot C(E - K \circ I) \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where $E$ is the detail-enhanced image, $I$ is the input image, $p$ is the pixel index of the images, $\circ$ denotes the element-wise product operator. For simplicity, we use $I$ to stand for $K \circ I$. Note that $C(E - I)$ is the $L_0$ norm of the gradient field, which equals the number of non-zero elements of the gradient field of $E - I$ defined

$$C(E - I) = \# \{ p \mid \partial_x(E_p - I_p) + \partial_y(E_p - I_p) \neq 0 \}, \quad (2)$$

where $\#$ is a counting operator.

Without loss of generality, it is assumed that the detail layer is enhanced $k$ times in the final image. $K_p$ is then computed as follows:

$$K_p = 1 + \frac{k}{1 + c \eta(V_p - \nabla p)} \quad (3)$$

where $V_p$ is the variance of the pixels in the $3 \times 3$ neighborhood of the $p$-th pixel, $\nabla p$ is the mean value of all the local variances. $\eta$ is calculated as $\ln(0.01)/(\min(V_p) - \nabla p)$, it guarantees the factors of small variance pixels be close to $1 + k$. So with (3), the factors of small variance pixels are close to $1 + k$, and the factors of large variance pixels are close to $1$.

III. SOLVER

As the second term of (1) contains a discrete counting metric, it is very difficult to be solved. Same as [9], we introduce auxiliary matrices to approximate the solution. Consider the following problem:

$$\min_{E,h,v} \left\{ \sum_p \left\{ (E_p - I_p)^2 + \beta \left( (\partial_x(E_p - I_p) - h_p)^2 + (\partial_y(E_p - I_p) - v_p)^2 \right) \right\} + \lambda \cdot C(h,v) \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where $\beta$ is a parameter controlling the similarity between auxiliary matrices $h,v$ and $\partial_x(E_p - I_p), \partial_y(E_p - I_p)$. When $\beta$ is large enough, the solution of optimization problem (4) is equivalent to (1). Problem (4) is solved through alternatively minimizing $(h,v)$ and $E$. In each pass, one set of the variables is fixed as values obtained from the previous iteration. Parameter $\beta$ is set as a small value $\beta_0$ at the beginning, and it is multiplied by a constant $\kappa$ each time. The process ends when $\beta$ is larger than $\beta_{max}$. In our experiment, we set $\kappa$ as 2, $\beta_0$ as 2 times of $\lambda$ and $\beta_{max}$ as $10^5$. The details of the solving process are given as below.

**Computing $E$ when $h$ and $v$ are known:** The $E$ estimation subproblem corresponds to minimizing

$$\min_{E} \left\{ \sum_p \left\{ (E_p - I_p)^2 + \beta (\partial_x(E_p - I_p) - h_p)^2 \right\} + (\partial_y(E_p - I_p) - v_p)^2 \right\}. \quad (5)$$

By taking the derivative of the problem, the global minimum of (5) is obtained. To accelerate computational speed, we diagonalize the derivative operator after Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and this gives the solution:

$$E = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{F}(I) + \beta (\mathcal{F}(\partial_x) \mathcal{F}(h + I)) + \mathcal{F}(\partial_y) \mathcal{F}(v + I) \right\} \mathcal{F}(1) + \beta (\mathcal{F}(\partial_x) \mathcal{F}(h + I)) + \mathcal{F}(\partial_y) \mathcal{F}(h + I), \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the FFT operator, $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the IFFT operator and $\ast$ denotes the complex conjugate.

**Computing $(h,v)$ when $E$ is known:** The $(h,v)$ estimation subproblem corresponds to minimizing

$$\min_{h,v} \left\{ \lambda \cdot C(h,v) + \sum_p \left\{ \beta ((\partial_x(E_p - I_p) - h_p)^2 + (\partial_y(E_p - I_p) - v_p)^2) \right\} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

The solution is given by

$$(h_p, v_p) = \begin{cases} (0,0), & \text{if } \partial_x(E_p - I_p)^2 + \partial_y(E_p - I_p)^2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{\beta} \\ (\partial_x(E_p - I_p), \partial_y(E_p - I_p)), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The two subproblems have analytic solutions with a detailed proof available in [9] in which a similar method is used. By estimating $E$ with equation (6) and $h,v$ with equation (8) alternatively, a detail-enhanced image $E$ is obtained when $\beta$ is larger than $\beta_{max}$.

IV. COMPARISON WITH THE ORIGINAL $L_0$ ALGORITHM

The original $L_0$ smoothing algorithm in [9] is formulated as

$$\min_{S} \left\{ \sum_p (S_p - I_p)^2 + \lambda \cdot C(S) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where $S$ is the edge-preserved smoothing image, $I$, $\lambda$, $C(S)$ and the subscript $p$ are defined as the same as (1). After solving the minimization problem, an edge-preserved smoothed image $S$ is obtained. Then the detail layer $D$ of the input image can be obtained by $I - S$.

In order to get a detail-enhanced image $E$, an amplified detail layer is added to the source image. Suppose the detail
layer is amplified $k$ times to the input image, then $E = I + k \cdot D$. After simple algebra transformation, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{cases}
(S_p - I_p)^2 = \frac{(E_p - I_p)^2}{(k+1) \cdot I - E} \\
S
\end{cases}
$$

(10)

So the optimization problem (9) is equivalent to

$$
\min_E \left\{ \sum_p (E_p - I_p)^2 + \lambda \cdot k^2 \cdot C\left(\frac{(k+1) \cdot I - E}{k}\right) \right\},
$$

(11)

where $C\left(\frac{(k+1) \cdot I - E}{k}\right)$ is an $L_0$ norm. It is noted that the value of $k$ in the denominator does not affect the value of the norm. So it is equivalent to the following optimization problem:

$$
\min_E \left\{ \sum_p (E_p - I_p)^2 + \lambda \cdot k^2 \cdot C\left(\frac{(k+1) \cdot I - E}{k}\right) \right\}
$$

(12)

Compared to the proposed optimization problem in (1), it can be found that our new proposed optimization problem tries to make the gradients of pixels in flat regions of the enhanced image be $k + 1$ times of the input image and the gradients of the edge pixels be the same as the input image. Therefore our algorithm is closer to the ideal detail enhancement algorithm than the original $L_0$ norm based algorithm and thus our algorithm is expected to produce better results.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we first evaluate the choice of $\lambda$ in (1). As shown in Fig. 1, $\lambda$ controls the degree of the enhancement. With a smaller $\lambda$, the final image will be more similar with the input image; with a larger $\lambda$, the result image will be sharper.

Then experimental studies are conducted to compare the proposed algorithm with the $L_0$ norm based algorithm in [9], the GIF in [5] and the BF in [3]. We first compare their overall performances. The images in Fig. 2(a) and Figs. 2(c)-(e) are resulting images by four different algorithms, obtained.
In this paper, a new detail enhancement algorithm has been proposed by formulating an $L_0$ norm based optimization problem. In contrast to the existing detail enhancement algorithms, the proposed algorithm produces a detail-enhanced image directly. Experimental results show that our algorithm produces images with better visual appearance than the existing $L_0$ norm based and several other detail enhancement algorithms, especially around edges.

### Table: In-Image Quality Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>$L_0$</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
<th>GIF</th>
<th>BF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Tulips”</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Lily”</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Beach”</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A smaller value indicates a higher quality. The average scores prove our proposed algorithm generally gives better results than the others. The metric in [21] is also used. The average scores are 31.7, 30.8, 36.5, 32.8, 28.3, respectively. With this metric, a higher value represents a higher quality. Clearly, the proposed algorithm also outperformed the others in this case. We also apply the metric in [21] to evaluate the selection of parameter $k$. By using the first test image “tulips” with the value of $k$ tested from 1 to 10, the scores obtained are 33.3, 35.9, 40.7, 44.8, 47.7, 48.8, 48.3, 47.0, 45.5, 43.7, respectively. So the score increases and then decreases as $k$ increases. This is because over sharpened images may be resulted from excessively large values of $k$, which are unnatural.

Finally, we test a potential way to address the problem that edge-preserving based detail enhancement algorithms usually boost noises. We use a hat function [22] to replace the sigmoid function in Eq. (3) to generate the factor matrix $K$. With this hat function, the pixels in flat areas or at sharp edges are not boosted in the enhanced image. As a result, both the flat areas and the sharp edges are better preserved. It is seen that Fig. 4(h) has less noise than Fig. 4(d). For example, the sky is “cleaner” as shown in Figs 4(i) and 4(j).

**VI. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, a new detail enhancement algorithm has been proposed by formulating an $L_0$ norm based optimization problem. In contrast to the existing detail enhancement algorithms, the proposed algorithm produces a detail-enhanced image directly. Experimental results show that our algorithm produces images with better visual appearance than the existing $L_0$ norm based and several other detail enhancement algorithms, especially around edges.
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